Third NeuroML Development Workshop

Summary

This workshop is being organised to finalise plans for the next major release of NeuroML: version 2.0. This version will be more extensible to allow more complex channel and synapse models to be developed and will expand the range of neuroscience models which can be expressed by the language. It will have greater interaction with languages for subcellular signalling pathways such as SBML and CellML, will support a wider range of 3D and abstract network descriptions and allow more simplified spiking neuron models to be expressed.

Practicalities

Dates: Thus 31th Mar - Fri 1st Apr 2011
Location: London - UCL (Thurs), Room 347, SSEES Building 16 Taviton Street, WC1H 0BW
Goodenough College (Fri), see http://www.neuroml.org/workshop2011
Local organisers: Angus Silver & Padraig Gleeson

Overview

Since last year’s NeuroML workshop at Arizona State University, a paper on version 1.x of NeuroML has been published (Gleeson et al. PloS Comp Biol 2010). There have also been major advances in the next major release of the language (see http://www.neuroml.org/neuroml2 for more details). This meeting will be an opportunity to discuss these developments, decide what is else is required, and lay plans for a formal v2.0 specification document. Topics for discussion at the workshop will include:

Representations of morphologies: Are the proposed structures sufficient for the range of applications which will visualise/transform/generate neuronal morphologies? What will be the requirements on the specification in the age of high resolution reconstructions and massive data sets from connectomics?

Use of LEMS for defining spiking nodes, channels & synapses: Is the LEMS framework (Low Entropy Modelling Specification, http://www.neuroml.org/lems) sufficient for describing the range of neuron types and active membrane conductances required in NeuroML 2.0?

Compatibility with SBML/CellML: There are many tools available, databases of models and active communities for these systems biology languages. How best can NeuroML interact with these existing initiatives without reinventing the wheel? Is import & export of these models using the same underlying LEMS framework a viable option?

Hierarchical network descriptions: Network generation templates will be expanded in NeuroML to allow a greater range of compact network descriptions. Are the proposed structures sufficient for the wide range of network representations used by experimentalists and theoreticians in the literature? How will this part of the language interact with the emerging NineML language?

Annotations and metadata: The systems biology community have a number of established initiatives for the structured annotation of models (e.g. SBO, Gene Ontology, MIRIAM) and some are emerging in neuroinformatics (e.g. NeuroLex). How should these be used to add metadata to NeuroML v2.0 documents? How can this metadata facilitate searching through NeuroML files?

Movement to formalised standardisation process: The NeuroML initiative needs to move to a structured specification development process with elected editors. Volunteers will be required!
### Agenda

**Morning Session: Thursday 31st March**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:30</td>
<td>Coffee &amp; Tea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00</td>
<td>Welcome</td>
<td>Angus Silver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:15</td>
<td>Review of status of NeuroML v1.x</td>
<td>Padraig Gleeson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30</td>
<td>Brief introduction to proposed structure of NeuroML v2.0 and LEMS</td>
<td>Robert Cannon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>Mapping LEMS to &amp; from other formats</td>
<td>Padraig Gleeson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30</td>
<td>Introduction to NineML</td>
<td>Ivan Raikov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>Coffee &amp; Tea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:20</td>
<td>Roundtable discussion: Vision for the NeuroML Initiative</td>
<td>Chair: Sharon Crook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30</td>
<td>Metadata annotations in v2.0 &amp; linking to remote databases</td>
<td>Mike Hucka, Stephen Larson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Afternoon Session: Thursday 31st March**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13:45</td>
<td>Representations of detailed neuronal morphologies in v2.0</td>
<td>Sharon Crook, Giorgio Ascoli, Moritz Helmstaedter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:00</td>
<td>Channel distributions on neuronal morphologies</td>
<td>Padraig Gleeson</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This workshop has been made possible with funding from:
15:30 Coffee & Tea

16:00 Requirements for a language for multiscale cell model development  
   Upinder Bhalla

16:30 Breakout sessions
   
   A number of separate groups can form to assess the present NeuroML 2 support for, or to gather new 
   requirements for language features in different areas: synapses, ion channels, morphologies, networks

18:00 Close of day

19:30 Dinner at Navarro’s: [http://www.navarros-tapas-london.co.uk](http://www.navarros-tapas-london.co.uk)

**Morning Session: Friday 1st April**

8:30 Coffee & Tea

09:00 Reports of breakout sessions

09:30 Ion channels & synapses in v2.0 specified using LEMS  
   Overview of what’s possible so far  
   Robert Cannon
   Low entropy specification of reaction diffusion models  
   Avrama Blackwell

11:00 Coffee & Tea

11:20 Continuing discussions on ion channels & synapses

12:00 Interaction with Systems Biology languages: SBML, CellML  
   *libSBML and the benefits SBML has had as a result of it*  
   Sarah Keating
   *Export & import of SBML & CellML from/to LEMS & usage with NeuroML ComponentTypes*  
   Padraig Gleeson

12:45 The OpenWorm project  
   *Interesting possibilities for using NeuroML 2.0/LEMS in an open source model of C elegans*  
   Stephen Larson

13:00 Lunch

**Afternoon Session: Friday 1st April**

14:00 Network representations
   
   Work to date for network representations in NeuroML 2  
   Procedural & declarative network descriptions: experiences from developing PyNN  
   Robert Cannon/Padraig Gleeson
   Spinnaker & BIMPA Project: Biologically inspired massively parallel architectures  
   Andrew Davison
   Andrew Brown/Dave Lester

This workshop has been made possible with funding from:
15:30 Coffee & Tea

16:00 Next steps

Chair: Angus Silver

Formalisation of NeuroML v2.0 specification process: volunteers!
Input from other standardisation processes, e.g. SBML
Towards a libNeuroML 2
Relationship to INCF Initiatives

17:30 Close of meeting

This workshop has been made possible with funding from: